



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,506	08/16/2001	Shannon Mitchell	2002906-0002	3684	
22907	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
	1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001				
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/931,506	MITCHELL ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Daniel M. Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sarah Kagan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		,
Claims discussed:	·	
·		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
DS-00-0	(4)	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the "Printer Rush" of 18 March 2005, Applicant's representative was contacted to determine whether the formal drawings filed 22 April 2002 were in color. According to Applicant's records, the formal drawings are black and white and they do not wish to publish color drawings...